### INDEX

Of

### WITNESSES

Defense! Witnesses	Page
OYAMA, Ayao (recalled)	31687
Direct by Mr. Howard	31687
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	31688
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Howard	31692
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31695
(Witness excused)	31698
SEMBA, Tsutomu	31699
Direct by Mr. Howard	31699
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31703
, <u>NOON RECESS</u>	31704
Cross (cont'd) by Colonel Mornane	31707
Redirect by Mr. Howard	31710
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	31710
(Witness excused)	31711
HIGASA, Rychei	31712
Direct by Mr. Howard	31712
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31714
(Witness excused)	31714

### INDEX

Of

# WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Def	ense! Witnesses	Page
	MIKI, Yeshihide	31715
	Direct by Mr. Howard	31715
	Cross by Colonel Mornane	31718
	Question by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	31720
	(Witness excused)	31721
	TANAKA, Nobuo	31722
	Direct by Mr. Howard	31722
	(Witness excused)	31727
	HONDA, Masaki	31728
	Direct by Mr. Howard	31728
	(Witness excused)	31732
	ICHIDA, Jiro (recalled)	31733
	Direct by Mr. Howard	31733
	AFTERNOON RECESS	31739
	Cross by Colenel Mornane	31740
	(Witness excused)	31742

## 24 OCTOBER 1947

INDEX

. Of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense! Witnesses	Page
YAMAGUCHI, Eiji (Hideji) (recalled)	31745
Direct by Mr. Howard	31745
(Witness excused)	31748
KAWAHARA, Naoichi	31749
Direct by Mr. Howard	31749
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31761

### INDEX

Of

### EXHIBITS

Dec.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
2034	3346		Affidavit of Alfred F. Kretschmer	31654
2599	3347		Curriculum Vitae of KIMURA, Heitaro	31657
2229	3348		Excerpt from War Ministry General Affairs Regula tions (General Regulat Service Handling of Documents)	
579	3349		Regulation Concerning the Treatment of Business of War Ministry	31665
1334	3350		Regulations of the KEMPEI (Imperial Ordinance No 337 dated 29 November 1898)	
594	3351		Affidavit of SAWAMOTO,	31670
2600	3352		Excerpts from Military Court Martial Law	31676
			MORNING RECESS	31681
2693	3353	"	Excerpts from the Militar Criminal Code (10 April 1908 - Lew No. 46)	

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description For Ident.	In Evidence
2675	3354		Affidavit of OYAMA,	31688
	14		Withdrawn	31692
2676	3354		Affidevit of OYAMA, Ayao	31692
2569	3355		Affidavit of SEMBA, Tsutomu	31699
2692	3356		Affidavit of HIGASA, Rychei	31712
894	3357		Affidavit of MIKI, Yoshihide	31715
2573	3358		Affidavit of TANAKA, Nobuo	31722
2511	3359		Affidavit of HONDA, Masaki	31728
2574	3360		Affidavit of ICHIDA, Jiro	31733
2770	3361		Affidavit of ICHIDA, Jiro	31736
2681	3362		Affidavit of TAKAGI, Hidezo	31743
2586	3363		Affidavit of YAMAGUCHI, Eiji (Hideji) (in part only)	31746
955	3364		Affidavit of KAWAHARA, Naoichi (in part only)	31751

#### Friday, 24 October 1947

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

#### Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE BERNARY VICTOR A. ROLING, Member from the Kingdom of the Netherlands, not sitting from 1330 to 1445; HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France, not sitting from 1500 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except SHIRATORI and HIRANUMA, who are represented by counsel. The prison surgeon at Sugamo certifies they are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the rest of the evidence set forth in the order of proof for Mr. KIDO was designed only to corroborate his evidence and contains no new matter. In the light of the Court's ruling on the affidavit of Count MAKINO yesterday, I feel I would only be exhausting the Court's time in offering further affidavits which would be susceptible to the same objections; so we therefore withdraw our affidavit 2248, which is now under consideration, and will not read 2258, which was admitted in part yesterday, and, therefore, we conclude the presentation of Mr. KIDO's evidence at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Has the Language Arbitration Board any report to make?

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, I understand this afficavit has been withdrawn, so the corrections are no longer necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot withdraw something that is in evidence without leave, but if necessary leave is given to withdraw it.

MR. LOGAN: We did not withdraw this affidavit.

I just said we are not going to read it. But I think

THE PRESIDENT: Undoubtedly.

the corrections should be made.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, we make the following language corrections:

Page 8, line 12, now reads "importance"; it should read "impotence."

Page 8, line 14, "later set up because of possibility of military influence" should read "later set up under military influence."

Page 10, lines 9 to 14, beginning "in recommending" and ending "the army": The Japanese counterpart of this sentence is not found in the affidavit signed by the affiant, SAKUDA, exhibit No. 3343.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Therefore, that sentence should be struck out of the English version of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: That will be done.
What is the next business? The cross-examination

13

14

10

11

12

3

7

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

4 5

of the witnesses who have come from the U.S.S.R.?

Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this decision of my friend, Mr. Logan, has taken us by surprise, and it will be a few minutes before the witnesses can be ready. We had no idea that the numerous affidavits still in KIDO's list were not to be offered and no notice of it.

MR. LOGAN: I am sorry about that, your Honor, but we only made up our minds a few minutes ago and did not know we were going to do this until this morning.

THE PRESIDENT: Are you prepared to go ahead with those witnesses today, Mr. Comyns Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I beg your Honor's pardon?

THE PRESIDENT: Are you prepared to go ahead
with the witnesses from the U.S.S.R. today?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am just finding out. I had nothing to do with it.

MR. LOGAN: If there is any delay on that, if the Tribunal please, I understand that Mr. KIMURA is ready to proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: KIMURA's case is the next in alphabetical order.

MR COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the prosecution

start.

is prepared to accept that last suggestion. They are ready to go ahead with KIMURA's case if the defense are ready, but it will take a little time to bring the Russian witnesses here for cross-examination. But the prosecution would be glad to dispose of the Russian witnesses as soon as possible. If they had only known of this, they would have been glad to dispose of this today.

THE PRESIDENT: Is KIMURA's order of proof a lengthy one? I have not seen it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I understand not very lengthy, your Honor, but the prosecution would prefer to interpose the Russian witnesses at a convenient point in KIMURA's order of proof as soon as they are in the building.

THE PRESIDENT: We will proceed with KIMURA's case. We can decide later whether we will let you interpose the Russian witnesses.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, if your Honor pleases.

THE PRESIDENT: Who is taking KIMURA's?

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, we are prepared

to proceed with the defense of General KIMURA, but we

would like to complete the presentation after we once

THE PRESIDENT: Go ahead with KIMURA's case and do not waste so much time.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, with the Tribunal's permission, I will read the opening statement for the defense of KIMURA, Heitaro, which is defense document No. 2719.

Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, evidence will be presented for General KIMURA to show that he was Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army from October 22, 1940 until April 10, 1941, and not from October 1939 to October 1940, as alleged by the prosecution. It will also be shown that KIMURA was actually in Manchuria as Chief of Staff for about four months only, and that while he was in Manchuria he had no connection with Germany.

Evidence will be presented to the effect that KIMURA did not take any interest in politics, economics, or diplomacy. That KIMURA while Vice War Minister did not formulate policies nor advise in their formulation. That he acted only under prescribed regulations and assisted the War Minister on purely administrative matters. Witnesses will also testify that important decisions were not rade by KIMURA and that matters concerning the treatment of prisoners of war were decided by the War Minister and orders concerning such issued in the name of the Vice War Minister. It will be shown that the authorities and

responsibilities of the Vice War Minister were not increased after TOJO became concurrently War Minister and Prime Minister.

Following this there will be presented affidavits of men who held positions in the War Ministry
and Navy Ministry. They will explain in detail the
way administrative matters were handled by the Vice
War Minister. It will be shown that KIMURA concentrated his energy chiefly on ordnance administrative
matters and at the same time endeavored to establish
and maintain harmony in the Ministry. It will be shown
that important orders issued by the War Ministry were
preceded by the words, "As the Minister has decided
so I send this note by order."

It will be shown that the Vice War Minister acts as an assistant to the Minister concerning military administrative affairs, but not concerning state affairs. That he could not have had authority in state matters unless authorized by the Emperor.

Although a prosecution witness has already testified that the Vice War Minister had no authority to command, appoint, dismiss or punish the chiefs of bureaus and departments, evidence will be produced to verify these facts by defense witnesses. One affidavit will show that a vice minister has no authority to

-

direct, command or cancel the business specially assigned to each of the bureaus and divisions.

it will be conclusively shown that KIMURA was not responsible for any decision in connection with this matter. However, since the prosecution has stated that "he personally issued the order for the death penalty on captured airmen," we will offer evidence to show the kind of trial contemplated by the War Ministry. This might more properly be used in other individual phases but is being offered now since the Tribunal has indicated an interest in the form of trial.

Evidence will be offered to show that the advice of counsel was sought by the War Ministry concerning the revision of the Prisoner of War Punishment Act.

SEMBA, Tsutomu, the man who drafted the "Note of Utilizing the Manchurian Machine Tool Company for the Purpose of Urgent Aerial Maintenance" will testify that they had no intention of using the Manchurian Tool Company in the production of arms and in fact did not do so.

TANAKA, Tadakatsu will testify that much of KIMURA's time was taken in attending ceremonial and routine matters. He will also testify that KIMURA was

not materially concerned with the drafting of the revision of the Prisoner of War Punishment Act. He will further testify that KIMURA had nothing to do with the drafting of the instruction by the War Minister to the Zentsuji Division concerning the treatment

of prisoners of war on May 30, 1942.

Since the prosecution has gone so far afield in citing exhibit numbers in which they charge KIMURA as being responsible for alleged atrocities in particular, we have found it necessary to take up all of the exhibits that deal with the Burma area atrocities even though KIMURA was there only a short time.

Japanese troops in the Burma area besides those under KIMURA's command. ICHIDA, Jiro, will testify that no report of the alleged atrocities in Burma was ever received by the Burma Area Army headquarters. It will be shown that the Commander of the Burma Area Army had nothing to do with the Mergui-Kirihkan Road. Some evidence has already been introduced in the general defense and in the individual defense of other accused which is also defensive matter for KIMURA. For instance, it was shown that the treatment of prisoners of war and civilian internees in the Burma area was generally good during the time that General KIMURA was

in command. It was also shown that letters of thanks, were received from former prisoners of war.

Although KIMURA is named in the conspiracy counts, no proof has been made that he did anything more than any loyal soldier would have done when his country was at war or was preparing for war. Hence, there is nothing for us to answer concerning the alleged conspiracy.

I now take up defense document 1025, the affidavit of the accused UMEZU. By agreement with the prosecution we are not offering this affidavit now, but reserve the right to present the sare on direct examination of General UMEZU when he becomes a witness in his own behalf. In the event General UMEZU does not appear, we request that our right to call him as a witness for General KIMURA be reserved.

I next offer in evidence defense document -THE PRESIDENT: We do not want this document.

It is not in evidence. You had better take it back.

MR. HOWARD: We next offer in evidence defense document 2034, the affidavit of General Kretschmer.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal please, with regard to this document the prosecution objects to the last sentence, which reads: "I think

Ambassador Ott used these exaggerated phrases only to urge the reluctant German Foreign Office to grant the decorations." It is objected to as being merely the opinion of the witness, who is not showing any grounds for knowing the fact.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection must be upheld.

The document with the exception of the last sentence is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2034 will receive exhibit No. 3346.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3346 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD (Reading): "Name: Alfred F. Kretschmer.

"Supplementing my affidavit of April 24, 1947,
I wish to explain exhibit 1272 in which Ambassador Ott
stated, 'On recommendation of the Military Attache,
Lt. General Heitaro KIMURA, Vice Minister of War, born
28th September 1888 in Tokyo Prefecture. KIMURA was in
Germany 1922-1924. In his position of Chief of the
General Staff of the Kwantung Army, October 1939 to
October 1940, he especially worked in behalf of Germany.
Vice Minister of War since 10 April 1941. He is one
of the principal advocates of German-Japanese Military

Cooperation; Grade: Great Cross.' I did not word even this part of the telegrom, but the Ambassador's wording is based, without any doubt, on my proposition and its dates, which, as I see now, were incorrect.

,

"As to the wording, 'he has especially worked in behalf of Germany,' I am sure that it refers to the following fact:

"Before the German-Soviet Russian war, tungsten, rubber, soya beans and other goods were sent to Germany via Manchuria and the Soviet Union. These shipments were often delayed by the Japanese Railway authorities in Manchuria. In such cases the German Mission in Hsingking had to ask for the help of the Headquarters of the Kwantung Army in Hsingking. But I am not sure whether such cases occurred while General KIMURA was the Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army.

"During the time General KIMURA was Vice War Minister, I was neither asked to his office, nor did he come to call on me in my office to talk with me on official business. My official connections with the War Ministry did not reach higher than to the political department, Major General SATO, and even him I met very seldom officially.

"In exhibit No. 1272, there are Ambassador Ott's additional remarks as follows: 'KIMURA has closely co-operated with War Minister and Prime Minister TOJO already on the Kwantung Army. His personal relationship to TOJO as well as his primary

preoccupation in his position of Prime Minister have enhanced his influence on the leadership of the War Ministry, as well as his position in regard to the other Vice-Ministers to a marked degree.' "I observed nothing that indicated to me that the foregoing statement of Ambassador Ott was 6 true." I next offer in evidence defense document No. 2599, which is an excerpt from an official Japanese Government document and is self explanatory. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2599 12 will receive exhibit No. 3347. 13 14 (Whereupon, the document above 15 referred to was marked defense exhibit 16 No. 3347 and received in evidence.) 17 MR. HOWARD: I will read exhibit No. 3347: 18 "Curriculum Vitae of KIMURA, Heitaro 19 "Appointed the Chief of the Staff, Kwantung 20 Army -- October 22, 1940" I should like next to call the Tribunal's 22 attention to exhibit No. 3031, which is a chart showing the Japanese military organization of December, 1941. You will notice that this shows the

Vice War Minister to be on an equal footing with the

21

23

24

1 Parliamentary Vice-Minister and the Parliamentary 2 Vice-Councillor, none of whom are shown to be a link in the chain of command. I offer in evidence defense document 2229, which contains excerpts from the General Regulations for the Service and Handling of Documents, concerning transaction of affairs of the War Ministry. I desire 8 to read the marked portions only. 9 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2229 11 will receive exhibit No. 3348. 12 (Whereupon, the document above 13 referred to was marked defense exhibit 14 No. 3348 and received in evidence.) 15 MR. HOWARD (Reading): 16 "CHAPTER I 17 "General Rules 18 "Article 1. These Regulations shall provide 19 for items concerning the transaction of affairs under the jurisdiction of the War Minister and the transaction of affairs between the War Minister and the 23 Army Air Force Headquarters. 24 "Article 2. The affairs of this ministry shall be divided among the Bureaus and the minister's secretariat and the minister's secretariat shall take

.

charge of the management of affairs thereof, unless otherwise provided.

"Afticle 3. Documents to be issued within or without the Army may be dispatched in the name either of the Vice-Minister or of the Senior Adjutant according to their nature.

"CHAPTER II

"SERVICE

6

7

10

12

13

14

. 15

/ 16

17

19

20

21

23

24

"Article 8. The Vice-Minister shall assist the Minister, manage ministerial affairs and supervise the Minister's Secretariat and each respective Bureaus.

"Article 10. Bureau Chiefs shall take charge of Bureau affairs and command and supervise their subordinates, pursuant to the orders of the Minister.

"Article 12. Bureau Chiefs shall establish detailed regulations concerning the transaction of affairs of their Bureaus and the Senior Adjutant shall do likewise in connection with the Minister's Secretariat, subsequently reporting them to the Minister.

"CHAPTER III

"Article 21. Official documents arriving at this Ministry shall be received by the communication

clerk of the Minister's Secretariat except those addressed to Bureau or Section Chiefs and will be handled.

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Telegrams which arrive at "Article 22. this Ministry except ordinary ones addressed to the 6 Bureau or Section shall be received by the communication clerks of the Minister's Secretariat and be translated except those relating to personnel affairs or those of which translation is prohibited by special order, and will be delivered to the competent adjutant or according to the delivery book directly to the addressee except those relating to personnel affairs which will be delivered to the competent Section The translation of confidential telegrams or those I quiring more confidential treatment shall be conducted by officers specially nominated.

"Article 24. The competent adjutant shall deliver the documents which among those prescribed in paragraph 3 of Article 21 and the preceding article excepting those in the proviso are deemed important and deserving prompt inspection by the Minister, the Parliamentary Vice-Minister, the Vice-Minister or the Councillor, to the Senior Adjutant. Other documents will be handed over to liaison clerks for delivery to sections concerned, nominating the competent section 1 for those which need be submitted for consideration to respective Sections, and the Section concerned for those simply to be circulated for perusal.

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

23

"Article 27. The transaction of affairs of this Ministry shall be entrusted on the basis indi-6 cated in attached tables 1 - 10 to the decision of 7 the Vice-Minister, Bureau Chiefs or to the Senior Adjutant. But the Bureau Chiefs may, within their spheres, transfer matters of minor importance to the disposal of the competent Section Chief.

"Among the matters, decided upon according to the prescription of the preceding paragraph those where it is deemed necessary will be reported to the superiors as occasion arises.

"Article 31. As to approval of a document 16 under consideration, the section in charge shall, in accordance with the provisions of Article 27 and with the degree of its importance, indicate (Vice-Minister in charge) on a column specified for approval of the document in case an approval of Vice-Minister 21 should be required and (Director in charge) if an 22 approval of a Director is required and if the document is to be sent around (before or after execution) to a parliamentary Vice-Minister or councillor the same section shall make an indication to this effect on

the same column.

"Article 40. The documents of each section whose consideration have already been finished must be handed over to the Minister's Secretariat without delay for review by the Adjutant and to have it carried into effect after getting approval of the Minister . Vice-Minister to whom they are submitted in order. But those which bear an indication of (Bureau Chief in charge) or matters with which the Senior Adjutant is entrusted must be carried into effect immediately by the Senior Adjutant and among matters with which the Bureau Chief and the Senior Adjutant are entrusted which are considered simple can be carried into effect by the principal Adjutant in charge without the review of the Senior Adjutant."

I next offer in evidence defense document 579. I desire to read only the marked portions of this document, being "Appendix No. 1, Matters Entrusted to the Army Vice-Minister," paragraphs 1 to 20 inclusive on pages 2 and 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases, referring to the preceding exhibit, 3348, I wish to draw the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that in Article 27 reference is made to attached tables 1 to 10, setting up the duties of the Vice-Minister, Bureau Chiefs and Senior Adjutant. I think in order to give that article any meaning, that this document should be produced. It is not part of the excerpt that has been produced.

I understand that my friend is not at present in a position to produce it, nor am I, on account of KIMURA's case coming on so suddenly today. But I will have it available on Monday and I wish to ask the Tribunal to reserve me leave to put it in on Monday, assuming the whole of KIMURA's case is completed today. That is, assuming witnesses to whom I could have put the document have already had their cross-examination completed.

THE PRESIDENT: So far as the paragraph that

.

you have referred to depends upon the meaning of or what is in the tables, it is not in evidence at all. It does not mean a thing. I do not know what obligation you have to tender anything. It is enough for you to point out that without those tables, most of the paragraph has no meaning or effect.

COLONEL MORNANE: For the purposes of the prosecution, it is desired to have those tables before the Court and, secondly, for use in cross-examining certain of the witnesses that will be called on behalf of KIMURA.

THE PRESIDENT: There is another way of doing that. If the defense do not want to tender it, we cannot allow you to do so at this stage.

colonel Mornane: In those circumstances, your Honor, it may be necessary for me to ask permission to postpone the cross-examination of three of the accused's witnesses today to a later stage.

THE PRESIDENT: You are in the position,
Mr. Howard, of having to explain why you have omitted
it.

MR. HOWARD: I didn't think it was necessary, your Honor, since this document that I have just offered shows the matters entrusted to the Army

Vice-Minister. 1 THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection 2 to this document last tendered, Colonel Mornane? 3 COLONEL MORNANE: No objection, Mr. 4 President. 5 MR. HOWARD: But, now, since it appears 6 that it possibly is necessary that this be produced 7 for the defense of KIMURA, I would like the right to produce that at the earliest possible date. 9 10 THE PRESIDENT: You propose to tender those lists of cases. Do so during KIMURA's case. 11 12 The document last tendered is admitted on 13 the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 579 15 will receive exhibit No. 3349. 16 (Whereupon, the document above re-17 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3349 18 and received in evidence.) MR. HOWARD: (Reading) "Appendix No. 1 21 "Matters Entrusted to the Army Vice-Minister 22 "l. Enactment, alteration, and abolition 23 of laws of minor importance; 24 "2. Official tours of Army Department

officials below sonin rank (rank of officials appointed

with the Emperor's approval) in the interior, Korea, and Saghalien; 2 "3. Authorization of incorporations, 3 4 articles thereof, subscription, and alteration of 5 provisions; 6 "4. Working budgets for the Yasukuni 7 Shrine and the Military Museum; 8 "5. The Emperor's or Imperial Prince's presence at the commencement exercises of Army schools; 10 "6. The Emperor's approval for appropriation 11 of war relief funds (excepting cases of minor importance) 12 "7. Various visits made as the minister's 13 representatives, connected with personnel affairs 14 (excepting cases of little importance); 15 "8. Decision as to how many should be 16 chosen out of gendarmerie officer-candidates and 17 gendarmerie 2nd Lieutenant-candidates (of all units); 18 "9. Number of students to be admitted into 19 various military schools; 20 "10. Assignment of officer-candiate students 21 to their respective units; 22 "11. Recognition of conscription exemption 23 24 schools; 25 "12. School applications for attachment of military officers;

	"13. Special rewards to officials, civil
1	and military, below hannin rank (junior official
2	rank);
3	"14. Imperial University lecture-attending
4	officers, the courses of lectures, and their schedules
5	"15. Selection of officer-students to be
6	assigned to the Tokyo School of Foreign Languages
7.	for study and of officers to be sent abroad for
8	study;
9	"16. Matters of minor importance re
10	conference on the scene and date of maneuvers to be
11	held under supervision of the War Minister, Chief
12	of General Staff, Inspector General of Military
13	Education and also on the choice of units (divisions)
14	participating in them;
15	"17. Convocation of Chiefs of Staff's
16	conference and its schedule;
17	"18. Matters of minor importance re
18	organization affairs;
19	"19. Matters of minor importance re
20	Manchuria and China;
21	"20. Instructions to government officials
22	
23	stationed abroad, government school professors sent
24	abroad, government officials despatched abroad."
25	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

I would like to have it appear in the record that there are various other duties included in that list. The way my friend has read it, as matters entrusted to the Vice-Minister, would appear as though it were quite comprehensive, whereas, there are quite a number of other duties which have not been read out.

THE PRESIDENT: The whole document is in evidence. You may use the balance of it at the proper time.

MR. HOWARD: The next document on the order of proof is the affidavit of KAWAHARA, but he is one of the witnesses whom Colonel Mornane wished to cross-examine from the documents which we are to produce later, so I will pass it at the present time.

I offer in evidence defense document 1334, "Regulations of the Kempei." I desire to read only the marked portions of this document, they being Article 1 and a part of Article 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1334

will receive exhibit No. 3350.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3350

and received in evidence.) 1 MR. HOWARD: (Reading) "Regulations of the Kempei 3 "Imperial Ordinance No. 337, dated November 4 29. 1898 (Meiji 31) 5 "Chapter I. General Provisions 6 "Article 1. The Kempei shall be under the 7 jurisdiction of the Minister of War, and shall 8 primarily control the military police and concurrently 9 10 the administrative and judicial police. 11 "Article 2. In the execution of his duties, 12 the Kempei shall take orders from the Minister of War 13 and the Minister of the Navy in regard to military 14 police matters; from the Home Minister regarding 15 administrative police matters; and from the Minister 16 of Justice regarding judicial police matters." 17 The next document on the order of proof is 18 the affidavit of SHIBAYAMA, which I wish to pass 19 for the moment for the same reason that I passed the 20 other one. 21 Next, I have defense document 495, the 22 affidavit of SAWAMOTO. Late yesterday afternoon 23 I received word that he was ill and would be unable 24

COLONEL MORNANE: In view of the illness

25

to attend.

of this witness, the prosecution consents to his affidavit being read. MR. HOWARD: Beginning at paragraph 1 --THE PRESIDENT: It is not tendered. I take it, it is tendered. It is admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 594 will receive exhibit No. 3351. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3351 and received in evidence.) 

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# MR. HOWARD: Beginning at paragraph 1:

"I was Vice-Minister of the Navy during my term of office from April 1941 to July 1944.

"As Lt. General KIMURA was Vice-Minister of War during his term of office from April 1941 to March 1943, I had official relations, as his colleague, during Lt. General KIMURA's tenure of office as Vice-Minister of War; but other than that, I had no connection at all with him, neither before nor after the said period.

"During the time that I was Vice-Minister of the Navy the regulations concerning the duties and responsibilities of that office were construed to mean and were placed in practice as follows:

- "(1) According to the Government organization,
  the Vice-Minister is to assist the Minister in the
  latter's capacity as the chief administrative official
  and there is no stipulation by virtue of which the former
  ought to help the Minister in his character of Minister
  of State.
- "(2) Before the Vice-Minister could act as proxy for the Minister, the Cabinet must grant permission by special proceedings, the Minister not having the authority to give such orders otherwise.
- "(3) From the viewpoint of the government organization, only the Minister, and not the Vice-

Minister, has a right of direction and command, author-1 ty over personnel matters, and power to punish over ach of the Bureaus and Divisions. The Vice-Minister 4 can do no more than to lend a helping hand by expressing his opinion on these matters to the Minister, with 6 the exception, however, of persons who, in conformity 7 with the regulations, are in the service by order of the 8 Vice-Minister; for example, Navy Archives Officials.

"(4) From the viewpoint of the government 10 organization, chiefs of each of the bureaus and 11 divisions are directly responsible to the Minister for the business in his department and directs and supervises in that office the respective business of each of the divisions and sections.

"(5) According to the regulations common to the official organization of each ministry, it is provided that 'the Vice-Minister helps the Minister, adjusts departmental affairs and supervises the business of each bureau and division.'

'Adjustment of departmental affairs' has, for its principal object, coordination of the business in each of the bureaus and divisions and carrying out of smooth execution of the affairs between bureaus and divisions. Besides this, he attends to the matters entrusted to him and various functions which do not

14 15

12

13

9

17

16

18 19

20 21

belong to any of the bureaus or divisions and other miscellaneous matters.

"b. 'Supervision of business' means here supervision of business to be carried out by the ViceMinister in the sense of helping the Minister as an
assistant since chiefs of bureaus and divisions, according to the government organization, are respectively
dealing with the matters for which they are responsible
and directing and supervising the business of each
bureau, division and section by order of the Minister.

"Accordingly, the Vice-Minister has no authority to direct, command or cancel the business specially
assigned to each of the bureaus and divisions. In cases
necessitating direction, command or cancellation, he has
no other recourse than to express his opinion to the
Minister and await his instructions.

"(6) The Vice-Minister has no authority to decide matters other than those which are assigned to him and, of course, no right to command outside his jurisdiction. Accordingly, as to the matters other than those which are assigned to him, even though an approval of the Vice-Minister is lacking, it is not unlawful so long as an approval of the Minister exists. Actually, in the Navy Ministry the Vice-Minister does not, by usage, take part in the nomination and appointment of

personnel.

"(7) In case of establishing regulations or giving oders in general, such as ministerial ordinances, notifications and instructions, etc., they are issued in the name of the Minister; and there are many cases, though it is actually the order of the Minister, in which the Minister's name is not used according to clerical usage. Instead, notifications by order in the name of the Vice-Minister are usually issued.

"(8) Accordingly, a Vice-Minister's notification by order is nothing more than a communication in writing made in the name of the Vice-Minister of what has been decided by the Minister.

"(9) The Vice-Minister's notification, which is different from the Vice-Minister's notification by order of the Minister, is issued in connection with matters assigned to the Vice-Minister in accordance with the official business regulations, etc.

"When there is a Cabinet change, no member of the Navy Ministry is affected except the Navy Minister. I do not remember of any case in which the Vice-Minister of the Navy was changed as a result of a political change. I remained in office as Vice-Minister of the Navy from KONOYE's third cabinet to TOJO's Cabinet; however, it was only due to usage and had no special

meaning.

. 2

12:

ministries, was requested to be a committee man or councillor of various committees, but it was only nominal so that I do not remember even the number and title of the organizations to which I belonged. Accordingly, I failed to attend most of these committee meetings. On rare occasions, I did attend some of these meetings, but in many cases it was called nominally and the proceedings of such meetings had already been deliberated beforehand, so that I seldom discussed matters in question myself in the meeting nor tried to change the contents of the decision. I did not do more than simply hear their reports.

"I was also, by request, Councillor of the Total War Research Institute as were the Vice-Ministers of other ministries, but the only chance I had to take part in the proceedings of the Institute, as I remember it now, was to attend once a year, together with my colleagues from other ministries, for the purpose of hearing the report of the result of the studies."

I next offer in evidence defense document 2600, which is a number of excerpts from military court-martial law which was in effect when the military secret order No. 2190 concerning the Doolittle fliers was despatched.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Pefense document 2600 will receive exhibit No. 3352.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3352 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: Before reading court exhibit 3352, I should like to call the Tribunal's attention to the fact that one of the Language Board's corrections of exhibit 1992, on page 14,951 of the record, has the last sentence read as follows:
"In regard to the above courts-martial, the provisions of the specially established court-martial stated in the army court-martial law shall be applied."

"MILITARY COURT MARTIAL LAW (EXCERPTS)
"Martial Law #85, April 26, 1921.

"Revised: law 8, 1941, law 78, 1942.

"Part 1. Court Martial.

"Chapter 1. The right of trial by Court-

"Article 1. The court-martial has the right

```
1 to try the undermentioned for the alleged crimes
 2 committed by them.
               Those who are mentioned in the 1st to
          "1.
 4 3rd inclusive paragraph, latter part of the 4th and
 5 the 5th paragraph of Article No. 8 and also Article
6 No. 9 of the Army Criminal Code.
          "2. The crew of the army transports.
          "3. Those belonging to and accompanying the
9 army other than mentioned in the previous two items.
          "4. Prisoners of war.
10
          "'7hen it is necessary to specially exempt
11
12 those mentioned in the previous items 2 and 3, it should
13 be decided by order.
          "Article 2. The court-martial also has the
14
15 right to try those who are mentioned in the previous
16 article for alleged crimes committed prior to the
17 time their status was acquired.
18
          "The court-martial has the right to try
19 those who are mentioned in the previous articles.
20 although they are no longer in one of the above men-
21 tioned categories if they are arrested, imprisoned,
22 detained or reported for search, while still retaining
23 their status.
24
          "Article 3. The court-martial has the right
25 to try those who are mentioned in the first part of
```

paragraph four of article 8 of the Army Criminal Code for their alleged crimes in violation of the army code.

"The regulation of the second item of the previous article applies to the crimes mentioned in the previous item.

"Chapter 2. Jurisdiction of Court-Martial.

"Article 8. The court-martials which shall be established are as follows:

"1. The General court-martial.

"2. The Army court-martial

"3. The division court-martial

"4. The Encircled Locality court-martial

"5. The Temporary court-martial.

"Article 9. The General court-martial, the Army court-martial and the Division court-martial shall be permanently established. The Encircled Locality court-martial, in case where "Faigen" (TN: a kind of military administration) is proclaimed, shall be specially established. The Temporary courtmartial shall be specially established as needed by the army organized in the event of war or an incident.

"Article 10. The Minister of "ar shall be appointed the Chief of the Ceneral court-martial.

"The Army Commander shall be appointed the

17

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

24

Chief of the Division court-martial.

"The Commander of a unit or of the area who establishes the court-martial shall be appointed the Chief of the specially established court-martial.

"Article 16. The Temporary court-martial is vested with jurisdiction over the following cases:

"(1) Cases against those who are under the command of or under the control of the commander of the unit which establishes the Temporary court martial except in cases where the court-martial is established in units under his jurisdiction and those who are under the command of or under the control of the commanders of these units shall not be included.

"(2) Cases against those in areas of operations, control or defence of the unit in whose area the Temporary court-martial is established, or those prescribed in Articles 1 and 3 who have committed alleged crimes in the said areas; this shall be limited to those cases where the units of the accused do not have a court-martial in the said areas.

"(3) Cases against those prescribed in Article 6 who are stationed in areas of operations, control or defence of the unit where the Temporary court-martial is established.

"Chapter 4, Organs of Trial.

"Article 47. The trials shall be carried on by the council consisting of five judges of the court-martial.

"The judges of the court martial shall be selected from judges and judicial officers, with the senior judge as its president.

"In case of the specially established court martial, the judges shall be reduced by two excluding the senior judge and judicial officers. The same will hold true in the case of the permanent court martials except the higher ones in case of emergencies.

"Article 49. "ith the exception of the higher court-martial, the bench of court-martials shall be composed of four judges and one judicial officer.

"The judges in the preceding clause shall consist of:

- "(1) One field officer and three company officers or two field officers and two company officers in case the accused is a private or non-commissioned officer.
- "(2) Two field officers and two company officers in case the accused is a company officer or warrant officer.
  - "(3) One general and three field officers,

or two generals and two field officers in case the accused is a field officer. "(4) Four generals in case the accused is a 3 general. "The judges in the preceding clause shall not be lower in rank than the accused. "In case the court-martial is located in an area cut off from communication, the judges of the court-martial may be selected from judges ranking higher or equal to the accused. "Part 2" --THE PRESIDENT: You will read that efter the recess. We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Thereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

1

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.

mR. HOWARD: Mr. President, before continuing the reading of the document before me, I would like to say that I have just learned that Major Blakeney, who is to cross-examine the witnesses who have recently arrived from Russia, is ill.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, somebody else had better get prepared to cross-examine unless major Blakeney will be available within a day or two. I mean either today or at latest monday.

MR. HOWARD: I understand he will probably be ready to proceed Monday.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, proceed with the reading of your documents.

AR. HOWARD: (Reading)

"Chapter 2. Opening of trial.

"Article 399. The decision to dismiss the accusation shall be given in the following cases:

"1. When the accusation is cancelled.

"2. When the accused is dead.

"3. When the case shall not be tried under the previsions of Article 24 or Article 25. "Article 400. When the decision is given

to dismiss the accusation by reason of the cancellation of the accusation, no one shall institute an 2 accusation nor demand a preliminary trial again. 3 "Article 403. In case the accused is found not guilty or there is no cvidence to prove his crime, he shall be given the verdict of 'not guilty.' 6 "Article 404. The decision to dismiss the 7 case shall be given in the following cases: 8 "1. When final judgment has been rendered. 9 "2. When the punishment to be assessed is 10 abolished in accordance with the law or ordinance 11 enacted after the crime was committed. 12 "3. When the accused should be exempt 13 14 from punishment. 15 "4. When an amnesty is proclaimed. 16 "5. When the case is barred by limitation. 17 "Article 410. When the accused is found 18 not guilty or is to be acquitted, or placed on pro-19 bation, or the prosecution is dismissed by the court, 20 or is not within the jurisdiction of the court-martial, 21 or the accused is fined, the accused who is detained 22 in the case shall be released. 23 "When the court martial doclares the dis-24 missal of the accusation or non-jurisdiction, the 25

court may preserve the warrant of detention it has

already issued, or may issue a new one.

"When the prosecutor fails, within three days, to institute an accusation on the case for which he preserves or issues a new warrant of detention, or to send the case to the prosecutors of the competent court martial, he shall at once release the accused. The same shall hold good when the prosecutor who has received the case fails to institute an accusation within five days.

## "APPENDIX

"The date on which this law will be put in force shall be regulated by an Imperial Ordinance (It has been enforced since 1 April 1942 in accordance with Imperial Ordinance No. 296, 1942)..."

I next offer in evidence defense document 2693 which is a number of excerpts from the Japanese Criminal Code which was in effect on 28 July, 1942.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2693

will receive exhibit No. 3353.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3353 and received in evidence.)

1	MR. HOWARD: (Reading)
2	"Excerpt from Military Criminal Code
3	"April 10th 1908, Law No. 46
4	"Chapter I.
5	"General Provisions.
6	"Article 8. Army personnel includes the
7	persons enumerated in the following:
8	1. Persons on the active list of the Army.
9	However those who have not yet entered barracks or
10	those on leave are to be excluded.
11	"2. Reservists who have received orders
12	for calling out.
13	*3. Reservists who are taking up the
14	duties of army personnel in various units without
15	being called out.
16	"4. In addition to the persons enumerated
17	in the preceding two clauses, reservists who are wear-
18	ing army uniforms or who are actually fulfilling their
19	duties of service.
21	"5. Persons who have voluntarily enlisted
22	themselves in the National Army Conscript and are
23	actually in service.
24	"Article 9. Persons enumerated in the
25	following shall be included correspondingly as Army
	Personnel:

"1. Army students.

"2. Civilian employees of the Army.

"3. Naval personnel taking up duties in the Army.

"In case exception is to be established with regard to persons as enumerated in No. 1 of preceding clause, it shall be decided by order."

THE PRESIDENT: Did I see major Blakeney in court while you were reading, Mr. Howard?
Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, Mr. Blakeney informed me that he was too ill to proceed today but he felt reasonably certain that he would be well enough to proceed with the cross-examination on Monday. In the event Mr. Howard should finish before we adjourn today, Major Furness can proceed with the examination of the hussian witnesses until adjournment time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.

mR. HOWARD: I next call the witness OYAmA whose affidavit is defense document 2675.

	'AYAO OYAMA, recalled as a witness on
1	behalf of the defense, having been previously
2	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
3	as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former
6	oath.
7	BY MR. HOWARD:
8	Q Please state your name and address,
9	General OYAMA.
10	A My name is OYAMA, Ayao; my address is
11	Motomura-machi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo-to.
12	MR. HOWARD: I now ask that the witness be
13	shown defense document 2675.
14	Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?
16	A Yes, as you say.
17	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
18	A Yes.
19	MR. HOWARD: I offer this affidavit in evi-
20	dence.
21	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
22	COLONEL MORNANE: If it please the Tribunal,
23	the prosecution objects to the reception of this
24	
25	

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

10

20

23

25

first page you will notice that the witness says, "I learned as follows:" and then goes on to the substance of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: He could have learned it from anybody anywhere. We may be prepared to allow him to say what were the sources of his knowledge, who gave it, who told him what he learned.

COLONEL MORNANE: I presume in that case, your Honor, that my friend will ask him that before getting on to the affidavit proper.

THE PRESIDENT: Subject to his stating the sources of his information, the affidavit is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2675 will receive exhibit No. 3354.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3354 and received in evidence.)

General OYAMA, this information that you have given in the affidavit, did you learn of it in 22 your official capacity?

COLONEL MORNANE: I object to that question, 24 if the Tribunal please; it is leading.

THE PRESIDENT: What persons gave you that information? What official positions did they hold at the time?

of Judicial Affairs of the War Ministry at that time and I got this information from my subordinates. This information I received from my subordinates when I was Chief of the Bureau of Judicial Affairs of the War Office.

THE PRESIDENT: What duty had your subordinates to possess that information?

THE WITNESS: At the time I was absent from my office having been dispatched to the Southern Areas. My subordinate who was commonly known as the senior member of the bureau learned this information as a part of his duties. Upon my return to my office he gave me this information as part of his report on affairs which occurred during my absence from the office.

THE PRESIDENT: What is his name?

THE WITNESS: His name was OKI, Genzaburo.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any reason why that individual should not be called?

MR. HOWARD: I am informed by my Japanese co-counsel that they do not know his whereabouts.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection on your part, Colonel Mornane; any further objection?

COLONEL MORNANE: I take it, your Honor, that some search has been made for this subordinate who can give this information. I understand the witness himself is chief of the First Demobilization Bureau and he is the man you would expect to have a record of the whereabouts of all of the discharged personnel from the Japanese Army. I therefore respectfully suggest that your Honor should ask him whether he has that information himself or whether he has the source of that information.

THE PRESIDENT: He says he got it from a

THE PRESIDENT: He says he got it from a subordinate. We know who the subordinate is now.

The only question is whether a proper search has been made for the subordinate. A senior officer of that kind should be easy to trace if he is available.

COLONEL MORNANE: What I was suggesting, your Honor, was that this very man, by virtue of his present official position, should be the man to know where this officer is.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you know where that subordinate is?

THE WITNESS: With reference to the senior member of the bureau, I think if a search is made his whereabouts may be ascertained.

THE PRESIDENT: In those circumstances we

ep

•

cannot allow this affidavit to be received or to remain on our records.

MR. HOWARD: I have just been informed by my Japanese co-counsel that a search has been made for this man by the Demobilization Bureau. However, we have been hearing hearsay evidence here and evidence of this type from a superior of the Legal Affairs Section -- it did not occur to me that this man would be required.

THE PRESIDENT: In this case at all events the Court wishes that the person who possessed the information, who passed it to this witness, should be called if he is available. If he is not available, the position is different.

MR. HOWARD: All I can say at this time, your Honor, is that a search has been made for the man and he has not been found.

THE PRESIDENT: You allege that. Although
we know you are telling us what you believe to be the
truth, we want some proof or some admission by the
prosecution. Apparently they are not prepared to take
your word for it.

MR. HOWARD: If the man can be found, the prosecution can use him later. That would be an excellent way to impeach this witness if he is not telling

O

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

the truth.

It has been suggested that we could get an affidavit or a certificate from the Demobilization Bureau either that he can be found or cannot be found.

THE PRESIDENT: Do they know the whereabouts of all these people? I do not know that they do; they may.

I think you had better withdraw this affidavit and present it again if you can show the source, the person who gave the information, is not available after proper search; otherwise we shall have to reject it.

MR. HOWARD: I now ask that the witness be shown defense document 2676.

(Whereupon, a document was shown to the witness.)

- Is that your affidavit, and did you sign it?
- Yes.
- Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
- Yes.

MR. HOWARD: I offer defense document 2676 in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 2676 will receive exhibit No. 3354.

D

W h a e n 16 &

1 h

R

23 24

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3354, and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit No. 3354, beginning at paragraph 2:

"2. The law pertaining to the Punishment of Prisoners of War was revised by Law No. 41 on 9 March 1943. There were two reasons for the revision. First, as to the crimes committed by the prisoners of war in the past, the Imperial Ordinance No. 225 of 25 October 1904, and the Law No. 38 of 28 February 1905 existed at the time of the Russo-Japanese war. Later on, as the ordinary criminal code was revised in 1908 with the complete reform of the penal system, it was necessary to revise the names of the punishments in the ordinance and the law pertaining to the punishing of prisoners of war, so as to conform to those in the criminal code and to make the terms of the criminal code in the former completely in line with those in the latter.

"Second, since the outbreak of the Pacific War, the number of POWs taken by the Japanese army gradually increased with the advancement of the war fronts, and it became necessary from the viewpoint of keeping surveillance to take precautionary steps to suppress

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

the possible uprisings of POWs as well as not to cause any hindrance in the proper discharge of duties of those in charge of guarding and superintending the prisoners. And also in view of the up-scaling of the penalties for the crimes committed against superiors. and the crimes committed by groups in the military, in the criminal code applicable to the Japanese soldiers and civilians in the military service, etc., it was necessary to raise the penalty to keep in line with the above mentioned up-scaling. These were the two reasons why a revision to the law pertaining to the punishment of POWs became necessary. Whereupon. in the fall of, or the winter of 1942, the bill for altering the Prisoner of War Law was taken up as one being under the jurisdiction of the War Ministry in connection with the preparation and the arrangement of the bills to be presented in the next ordinary session of the Diet as the usual practice of every year. After consultation within the respective departments and the sections of the Ministry of War. and further consultations with the Bureau of Legal Affairs, etc., the final draft was drawn up and approved by the Minister; then, upon approval by the Cabinet meeting, the bill was presented to the 81st session of the Diet of the Government. After being passed by

Yes.

A

the Diet, the bill became a law and was promulgated
and put in force as Law No. 41 of 1943, The Law
Pertaining to the Punishment of POWs (Court exhibit
1965A)."
MR. HOWARD: With the Tribunal's permission,
I will ask two or three additional questions.
Q Was the Legal Affairs Bureau consulted by
members of the War Ministry concerning the legality
of the revision of the law in question?
A Yes, we were consulted concerning this point.
Further consultation was made with the legislative
bureau concerning this matter, also with other concerned
authorities.
Q Were they informed that the revision was legal
A Yes.
MR. HOWARD: You may have the witness.
THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY COLONEL MORNANE:
Q Did you give them that information yourself?
A The persons in charge of the offices concerned
gave this information, made this study of this problem.
Q You were in charge of the Bureau of Legal
Affairs yourself?

Q	Were you consulted?
A	No, not directly.
Q	You are a very experienced legal officer?
	You are a very experienced legal officer,
are you	not?
A	Yes. I have been a legal officer for many
years.	
Q	Did you advise your subordinates to give
them the	et advice, that the bill was legal?
A	I did not give them any indication, inasmuch
as it wa	as a part of their duty to thoroughly study the
question	n of the legality of the bill.
Q	You did not consider it yourself?
A	No, I did not.
Q	And you were not asked by the War Minister
to cons	lder it yourself?
A	I was not directed directly by the War Minist
However,	concerning points of this sort, the Bureaus
and Div	isions concerned studied the question in concer
with the	e offices which were in charge of the matter.

However, concerning points of this sort, the Bureaus and Divisions concerned studied the question in concert with the offices which were in charge of the matter.

All concerned offices sent their representatives to the Legislative Bureau, where the problem was studied and the draft decided upon. After the draft was approved by the War Minister it was presented to the Diet, as I have mentioned in my affidavit.

2 Did the Vice Minister of War, KIMURA, have anything to do with the preparation of this matter?

A The Vice Minister does not have any connection in facilitating the thing.

THE MONITOR: In facilitating the matter the Vice Minister did not have anything to do with it.

COLONEL MORNANE: That concludes the crossexamination, if your Honor pleases.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard, about your first affidevit withdrawn by leave, suppose it turns out that this man is found and comes here and tells us that he got his information from somebody else -- that he got it from B, who got it from C, who got it from D? That frequently happens in the course of departmental business. What will be the position then? This man may have had the same duty to know or to learn as the person for whom you are about to make a search. Should that situation arise, of course, I suppose you would approach the prosecution to see whether they could not come to some arrangement with you about it, but I don't want you to start a search which inevitably must lead to that result.

Is there any further examination of this witness?

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, while the witness is here I would like to read this other affidavit. believe that it has some evidentiary value, regardless of what theseother men say. COLONEL MORNANL: If the Tribunal pleases, the prosecution renews its objection; the whole of the relevant part of the affidavit is covered by the 7 8 words "I learned". 9 THE PRESIDENT: We can hardly receive an objection to a document not now before us, which has been withdrawn by leave. We will proceed with the next business if you have finished with this witness. He is discharged on the usual terms. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. HOWARD: I next call the witness SEMBA, whose affidavit is defense document 2569.

19

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

1	TSUTOMU SEMBA, called as a witness on
2	hohole of the sa
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. HOWARD:
6	Q Please state your name and address.
7	A My name is SEMBA, Tsutomu. My address is
8	Kichijoji, Musashino-machi, Tokyo-to.
9	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
10	defense document 2569.
11	(Whereupon, a document was handed
12	to the witness.)
13	Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. HOWARD: I offer defense document 2569
19	in evidence.
20	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
21	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2569
2	will receive exhibit No. 3355.
3	(Whereupon, the document above re-
4	ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3355
5	and received in evidence.)
	MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit

No. 3355 beginning at paragraph 1.

"I, SEMBA, Tsutomu, was born in Tokyo on February 2, 1909, graduated from the college of engineering of the Tokyo Imperial University in March, 1933 and immediately entered the service of the Military Arsenal at Osaka. I was in the service of the Engineering Administration Section of the Maintenance Bureau of the War Ministry from March, 1939 to October, 1942, and of the General Bureau of Aerial Ordinance of the Munitions Ministry from March, 1944 to the end of the war. Meanwhile I was commissioned as technical major-colonel in April, 1942.

"The case of the employment of POW's, relating to Exhibit 1970-A, 'Inquiry about the utilization of the Manchurian Machine Tool Company for the purpose of urgent aerial maintenance,' occurred at the time when I was in the service of the Maintenance Bureau, and it was directly in my charge.

"The note concerning the employment of POW's in the Manchurian Machine Tool Company was issued under the name of the Vice Minister, as entrusted according to the 'Note Concerning the Disposal of POW's at the Present,' (exhibit 1965-A) decided by the War Minister on May 2, 1942.

"At that time, owing to the extreme shortage

-

'

-

of our domestic manpower, expecially of technicians and skilled workers, all our people were obliged to work according to their talent and specialty, without distinction of age and sex. So the Minister's policy was to engage the prisoners in the sort and extent of labor permissible by international laws and regulations.

"As regards the conditions of the demand and supply of machine tools at that time, our domestic productivity was 40,000 pieces (about 400 million yen) per year, while the total amount ordered was more than 250,000 pieces (about 2.5 billion yen). In addition, owing to the scarcity of building materials, it was so difficult to build a new factory that there remained no alternative but to make the most of existing facilities. In consequence, we learned to utilize wholly and speedily the Manchurian Machine Tool Company which had a lot of excellent facilities idle. While manpower, especially brain and skilled labor, was then more scarce in Manchuria than in Japan Proper, we could not expect so much of the Manchurian workers, not skilled, irregular in attendance and frequently moving. It was also difficult for the Japanese workers at home to move to Manchuria. Eventually, we planned to choose and employ those prisoners with

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

mechanical skill and experience.

engaging the Manchurian Machine Tool Company in the production of arms, and it remained in the position of requisitioning and assisting the company as a requisitioner of machine tools. So the company was kept strictly distinct from the munitions industry which was under the direct guidance of the Army. This company was under the jurisdiction of the Engineering Agency of the Manchurian Economic Department. The prisoners participated in the production of benchlathes and automatic lathes of the German Index type.

"The wording of 'urgent aerial maintenance' in the 'Note of Utilizing the Manchurian Machine Tool Company for the Purpose of Urgent Aerial Maintenance,' (exhibit 1970) which was drafted by me was used with the intention of engaging the company in the speedy production of machine tools, thereby contributing indirectly to the required production of aircrafts.

"We did not project and carry out the direct production of arms and ammunition."

Your witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

	TOV	COLONEL	MORNANE:
2	DI	COLUMED	MURINAME .

Q You drafted exhibit 1970-A yourself, did you,

4 Witness?

5

11

12

A Yes.

Q And it was subsequently submitted to the

7 Vice Minister?

A Yes.

Q And before drafting that you considered what now appears as exhibit 1965-A in this case?

A I don't understand the meaning of the question.

Q In your affidavit you say the note "was issued under the name of the Vice Minister, as entrusted according to the 'Note Concerning the Disposal of Prisoners of War at the Present,' (exhibit 1965-A)."

A It was as written in my affidavit.

Q What do you mean by "as entrusted according to the 'Note Concerning the Disposal of Prisoners of War'"?

A In so far as it was not in violation of international law it was the state policy to use prisoners of war in important industries.

Q What do you know about international law, Witness?

A For example, the use of POW's in the direct

U

18

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

```
manufacture of munitions and ammunition or at the
   front is prohibited by international law.
3
            THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-
4
   past one.
5
                  (Whereupon, at 1200, an adjournment
6
        was taken.)
7
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
23
24
25
```

•

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, we submit the following language corrections: Reference exhibit 2202-A, record page 15,753 -- 21 January 1947: Line 18, delete "our," substitute "the." Line 20, delete "our" and substitute "the." Line 25, delete "are not discussed," substitute "is not the question." Line 4, delete "TOKAWA," substitute "SOGO."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Captain Kraft. General Vasiliev.

CENERAL VASILIEV: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution witnesses pertaining to the Russian phase are now in the building. They are: Japanese prisoners of war TAKEBE, Rokuzo; MATSUURA, Kusuo; MURAKAMI, Keisaku; Russian witnesses: Chernopyatko; Batarshin. The third Russian witness, Budarin, is delayed in Vladivostok due to his illness. Since the time of the arrival of these witnesses no plane has arrived here from Vladivostok because of bad weather.

This witness is expected in the nearest future.

The Japanese witnesses will be presented by Colonel Ivanov; the Russian witnesses will be presented by Colonel Smirnov.

What is the wish of the Tribunal concerning the presentation of these witnesses? Does the Tribunal desire to hear them now?

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any reason why we should not complete KIMURA's case, which we may be able to do today, and take the Russian witnesses on Monday?

GENERAL VASILIEV: We have no objections to that, and we shall comply with the desire of the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: We will take the Russian witnesses on Monday, as already arranged.

MR. FURNESS: I assume, of course, that includes the Japanese nationals who have come here from Russia.

THE PRESIDENT: It includes the lot.

If we finish the KIMURA case early today we will have time then, perhaps, to take one of those Russian witnesses, at least. They had better be kept handy in that event.

Colonel Mornane.

1 2

 TSUTOMU SEMBA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL MORNANE (Continued):

Q Witness, in your affidavit you say, "So the Minister's policy was to engage the prisoners in the sort and extent of labor permissible by international laws and regulations." And you also refer to exhibit 1965-A in that affidavit. I will now read from paragraph 1 on page 31 of the English translation of exhibit 1965-A:

"Prisoners of war who are white persons shall be imprisoned in Chosen, Taiwan, Manchuria, and China successively to be employed in the expansion of our production and on work connected with military affairs."

Do you regard that statement as being a statement of what is permissible under international law?

A In so far as my understanding of the exhibit is concerned, I do not think that is in violation of international laws and regulations. My reasons for so saying are --

3

4

5

7

S

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And that is the basis of your statement in the affidavit?

A Yes.

Q And this document 1970-A, exhibit 1970-A, which you drafted, was subsequently approved by the accused KIMURA?

The drafting took place following the issuance of a notification by the Vice Minister of War reporting an approval given by the Minister of War with regard to the disposition of prisoners of war. This refers to the passage from exhibit 1965-A that has just been read. Those of us who were engaged in such activities as I have related have applied the principles of the notification sent to us by the Ministry of War in so far as it related to the work with which we were charged, and our duty was to materialize in our own respective fields the notification sent us. The policy itself was approved by the Minister of War, and the concrete materialization of the matters contained therein, that was our function. And since these ratters were one of the matters entrusted to the Vice Minister of War, the results of the work carried out were naturally later on reported by the Vice

Minister of War to the War Minister. In view of the fact that the work was carried out in accordance with the policy and intention approved by the Minister of War, and since the matter was a matter entrusted to the Vice Minister of War, the responsibility for the Work after being carried out rests with the War Minister and not with the Vice Minister.

Q Witness, all I asked you was whether the Vice Minister approved of this document?

> Yes. Λ

COLONEL MORNAME: That concludes my crossexamination.

THE	PRESIDENT	:	Mr.	Howard.
MR.	HOWARD:	Mr.	Pre	esident.
	DED TREC	T T	YAM	TNATTON

BY MR. HOWARD:

Q Did you finish the answer that you started on one of the questions concerning the reasons you thought that was in conformity with international law?

- A No, my reply was insufficient.
- Q Please finish your answer.

A Belligerent states have the right to engage in labor prisoners of war with the exception of officers and those qualified to receive the treatment of officers.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want him to tell us what is international law in this respect.

I have a question on behalf of a Member of the Tribunal. Did the Vice-Minister approve the form or the substance of the rule?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Which? The form, or the substance, or both?

THE WITNESS: He approved both the form and substance of the rule on the basis of his belief that it was not in violation of international laws and regulations.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, he does not know what his beliefs were. MR. HOWARD: May the witness be excused on the usual terms, your Honor? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. HOWARD: I next call the witness HIGASA, whose affidavit is defense document 2692. 

	RYOHEI HIGASA, called as a witness on
1	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
2	testified through Japanese interpreters as
3	follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. HOWARD:
7	Q Mr. HIGASA, please state your name and
8	address.
9	A My name is HIGASA, Ryohei. My address,
10	Marubayashi, Tanomura, Tomata-gun, Okayama Prefecture
11	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
12	defense document No. 2692.
13	Q Is that your affidavit, and did you sign it?
14	A Yes, I have signed it.
15	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
16	Λ Yes.
17	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense
18	document 2692.
19	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
20	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2692
21	will receive exhibit No. 3356.
22	(Whereupon, the document above
23	referred to was marked defense exhibit
24	No. 3356 and received in evidence.)
25	

MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit

No. 3356, beginning at paragraph 1.

"1. My name is HIGASA, Ryohei.

"At present I reside at Marubayashi, Tanomura, Tomata-gun, Okayama Prefecture. I was born in Okayama Prefecture on December 10, 1904. After graduating from the Military Staff College in April 1940, I held various offices in the army. During the period from March 1942 up to March 1944 I took charge of administration matters concerning prisoners of war as staff officer in the Tobu Army. At the time of surrender, I was in the rank of Lieutenant Colonel.

"2. The Tobu Army document No. 41 dated
2 October 1942, and entitled 'Matters Concerning the
Employment of POW' (exhibit No. 1967) was issued when
I was staff officer in the Tobu Army. This was made
on the basis of two different documents, one being
'Matters Concerning the Treatment of POW' (exhibit
No. 1965-A) which was decided by the Minister of War
and the other being 'POW Employment Regulations' (exhibit No. 3050) which had already been in force at that
time. The document 'Matters Concerning the Employment
of POW' did not contain any plan of employing prisoners
of war in the labor directly connected with military
operations such as production and transportation of
arms and ammunition. As a matter of fact at no time

were prisoners of war employed in such kind of labor as mentioned above."

Your witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

COLONEL MORNANE: Just one question, if the Tribunal please.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL MORNANE:

Q What is the Tobu Army?

A The Tobu Army refers to one of the four army districts into which Japan proper was divided at the time namely, the Hokubu or the Northern Army, Tobu or Eastern Army, the Chubu, the Central Army, and the Seibu, the

COLONEL MORNANE: That is all.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. HOWARD: Next on the order of proof is defense document 954, but we wish to pass this one for the same reason that we passed the other two affidavits.

I next call the witness MIKI, whose affidavit is defense document 894.

Western Army.

	YOSHIHIDE MIKI, called as a witness on
1	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as
4	follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. HOWARD:
7	Q Mr. MIKI, please state your name and address.
8	A My name is MIKI, Yoshihide. My address,
9	No. 229, 1-chome, Saginomiya, Nakano-ku, Tokyo.
10	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
11	defense document 894.
12	Q Is that your affidavit, and did you sign it?
13	A This is my affidavit, and I have signed it.
14	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
15	A Yes.
16	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense docu
17	ment 894.
18	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
19	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 894
20	will receive exhibit No. 3357.
21	(Whereupon, the document above
22	referred to was marked defense exhibit
23	
24	No. 3357 and received in evidence.)

Le	1
f 1	2
e f l e r	3
&	4
W	5
0	6
0 1 f	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

	1	MR.	HOWARD	: ]	read	in	evidence	exhibit
lo.	3357.	be	ginning	at	parag	raph	1:	

"1. I served as Chief of the Medical Affairs
Bureau of the War Ministry during Lieutenant General
KIMURA's tenure of office as Vice-Minister of War.

"2. Chiefs of bureaus were not directly subordinate to the Vice-Minister. However, the latter supervised the business of the bureaus.

"3. Chiefs of bureaus were directly subordinate to the Minister of War. They conducted
the business under their respective charge by order
of the Minister to whom they were each responsible.

"4. Insofar as the Medical Affairs Bureau was concerned, the business delegated to the Vice-Minister was of minor importance, being related to such matters as examination of medical supplies.

"5. I observed that the primary duties of the Vice-Minister were to strive for harmony and smooth operation of business within the ministry and to assist the Minister as his aide.

"6. Premier and War Minister TOJO carried out his duties with despatch, accuracy and decision, setting himself as an example of effective leadership.

"7. The conferences of bureau chiefs were presided over by Minister TOJO. At these meetings,

matters under his charge, and to this the Minister gave his views and directions. Vice-Minister KIMURA spoke at these conferences very rarely and in my recollection his statements were confined mostly to matters related to business within the ministry.

"At the conferences of bureau chiefs I have never heard of any report on the protests against the maltreatment of prisoners of war during Vice-Minister KIMURA's tenure of office.

"8. The orders of the War Minister were generally stipulated as departmental ordinances, notifications, instructions, etc., and only when orders were issued was the Minister's name used. On other occasions the Minister's name was not used and instead, in accordance with business custom, the Vice-Minister's notification by order was issued.

"The Vice-Ministerial notification by order was the method employed when orders approved by the Minister were transmitted in writing.

"9. The power to command, appoint and dismiss, and punish chiefs of bureaus was held by the Minister. The Vice-Minister had no such power. Except on the less important of the matters delegated to him, the Vice-Minister had no power of decision

and, of course, no power of command cutside the ministry. Consequently, although it was his responsibility to assist the Minister, he was not in a position of responsibility with regard to external matters."

Your witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY COLONEL MORNANE:

Q The Vice-Minister was actually, after the War Minister, the senior ranking minister in the War Ministry, was he not?

A I could not quite comprehend the latter
part of the question. May I have it repeated?

COLONEL MORNANE: Will the court reporter
read it.

(Whereupon, the question was read by the official court reporter.) COLONEL MORNANE: Senior ranking officer.

A Yes.

Q And before any important matters could be formulated, the bureau chiefs had to get the authority, the consent, of either the Minister for War or the Vice-Minister for War.

A The approval of the War Minister was always

17

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

24

1	necessary, but the approval of the Vice-Minister of
2	War was not necessary and various measures could be
3	carried into effect without his consent.
4	Q I suggest to you, witness, that in important
5	matters you had to get the approval either, one, of
6	the Minister of War, or if you didn't get his
7	approval, the approval of the Vice-Minister for War
8	was sufficient.
9	A It was not possible to undertake any important
0	matter without the approval of the War Minister.
1	THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.
2	(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter
13	read.)
4	THE MONITOR: Slight correction: "It was
15	not possible to carry out any important matter
16	with the approval of the Vice-Minister of War. The
17	approval of the War Minister was necessary."
18	Q The affairs of the War Ministry were conducted
19	in accordance with the War Ministry General Affairs
20	Regulations, were they not?
21	A Yes.
22	COLONEL MORNANE: Can the witness be shown
24	and It was put in this morning.

Language Section, I will now be referring

to Article 30 on page 10 of the English copy.

24

Q	I refer you,	witness,	to	Article	30.
	Have you fou	nd it?			

A I have found it.

Q That article reads as follows: "Among matters formulated by each section as to those which are important, the Bureau Chief concerned, at the time of the beginning of the formulation or at any other appropriate time, shall express his views directly to the Minister or to the Vice-Minister to get his private consent for its execution."

Therefore, under that article, the consent of either the Minister or the Vice-Minister was required for important matters.

A Of course, it is very desirable to obtain the approval both of the War Minister and the War Vice-Minister, but it is not against violations -- it is not violation of regulations to carry out any matters with the direct approval of the War Minister and not obtaining the approval of the Vice-Minister.

COLONEL MORNANE: That concludes the cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of a Member of the Tribunal, I wish to ask the following question: When the War Minister decides the policy, has that policy to be approved by the Vice-Minister?

```
THE WITNESS: My belief is that no
  approval was necessary.
            THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.
3
            MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness
4
  be excused on the usual terms?
5
            THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.
6
                  (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. HOWARD: I next call the witness TANAKA, 1 Nobuo, whose affidavit is defense document 2573. 2 3 NOBUO TANAKA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. HOWARD: General TANAKA, please state your name and 10 address. 11 My name is TANAKA, Nobuo; my address is No. A 3 Kanbe Honda-machi, 4-chome, Suzuga-gun, Mie Prefecture. 13 14 MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown 15 defense document 2573. 16 (Whereupon, a document was handed 17 to the witness.) 18 Is that your affidavit and did you sign it? 19 This is my affidavit and it bears my sig-20 nature. 21 Q Are the contents therein true and correct? 22 Yes, true and correct. 23 MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense 24 document 2573. 25 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2573 will receive exhibit No. 3358.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3358 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit No. 3358, beginning at paragraph 1:

"1. I, TANAKA, Nobuo, held the rank of Lieutenant-General. I was born on October 30, 1890, and am presently living in No. 3 Kanbe-Honda-machi, 4-chome, Suzuga-shi, Mie Prefecture.

"I was appointed Commander of the 33rd Division in May, 1944. In September, 1944, when I was retreating with my troops from the front after a battle at Imparle, General KIMURA arrived in Burma as the Commander of the Burma Area Army.

"2. On arriving at the Tenasserium district on May 28, 1945, I met General KIMURA at Moulmein. On that occasion he urged the necessity of enforcing strict military discipline and being good to the inhabitants; he told me to treat them with warmness and kindness because the same Burmese would help the Japanese Army out of difficulties and that military operations could not be carried out without their co-operation.

7,

"3. Since then I have been in charge of
the defense of the Tenasserium district south of the
Salween River. In those days leaders of the guerrilla
units backed by the British-Indian parachute units
frequently landed in this district and threatened the
rear of the Japanese units.

"In the vicinity of Kalagon Village, a parachute corps of the British-Indian Army had built its base, and in concert with the insurgent Burmese Army, came to disturb the district under my garrison charge. The British-Indian Army won over the native people by dropping from the airplanes not only arms and provisions for the guerrilla corps but also necessities for the people's cultural life, so that the power of the guerrilla corps was increased day by day. On the middle of June, 1945, I was obliged to order Colonel TSUKADA, the 215th Infantry Regimental Commander, to suppress this guerrilla corps.

"On this occasion, I had the Colonel take clothes and provisions to the people for their pacification. But most unhappily the Kalagon Incident broke out due to an abnormal action of the Commander of the Battalion that attacked this village. I had always been particularly careful about my subordinates' treatment of the natives. But I never knew about the

so-called 'Kalagon Incident' until my battalion commander, company commander and others were summoned 2 by the British Army after the close of the war. I 3 had already moved to Siam. Even when I met Regimental Commander immediately before the termination of the war, he did not give me any report about this event. 6 Consequently, I did not, on my part, give any report to Area Army Commander KIMURA concerning the same 9 event either when I went to Moulmein on August 15 to 10 pay him my compliments on my way to Siam or when I 11

pay him my compliments on my way to Siam or when I met him in Bangkok where he stopped on his way back from Singapore after the termination of the war. It was because at that time I myself was quite ignorant of the happenings of the 'Kalagon' Incident.

"I, as the commanding officer concerned, was put in prison as a war suspect, on January 22, 1946, in connection with this affair. But I had neither given any order for such act of atrocity nor had received any report on it. Since the Regiment Commander stated at the War Tribunal at Rangoon that he did not report this case to me, the Division Commander, it was made clear that I had no connection at all with the matter but simply was giving orders concerning tactical matters only. So I was released on December 16, 1946, and served with a working unit at the 'Aron

(8)

is

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Camp' in the suburb of Rangoon. On August 4, 1947, I embarked on the last steamer bound for Japan. The case was dropped not only for me as the Division Commander but even for the Regiment Commander also. Those who were punished were only the Battalion Commander and some of the personnel ranking below It was on February 6, 1946, that I reported the Kalagon Case to the Commander of the Area Army, hen I was temporarily released on bail from the Moulmein Prison and went to the Camp Insen to attend the meeting of the Anglo-Japanese War Research Socity. I met General KIMURA there and directly reported the matter to him. The General was very much surprised and repeatedly said to me that he felt exceedingly sorry about it. According to what I have picked up while I was in prison, it seemed to me that there were one or two other illegal acts besides the Kalagon case. In fact, two officers who were under my command were arrested and brought to Burma after the demobilization. But during the war, I had never known of such a case, or given any order in connec-22 tion therewith. I did not undergo any investigation and I am left as not involved. So I did not report such cases during the wartime to the Headquarters of Area Army nor did I receive any order about such

20

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

matters from the Headquarters of the Area Army." Your witness. COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal please, there will be no cross-examination of this witness. MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, we ask that the witness be excused on the usual terms. THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. HOWARD: I next call the witness HONDA whose affidavit is defense document 2511. 

MASAKI HONDA, called as a witness on be-
half of the defense, being first duly sworn,
testified through Japanese interpreters as
follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. HOWARD:
General HONDA, please state your name and
address.
A I am HONDA, Masaki, formerly Lieutenant-
General; I presently reside at No. 168, Zaimok Uza,
Kamakura.
MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
defense document 2511.
(Whereupon, a document was handed
to the witness.)
Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?
A This is my affidavit, and I have signed it.
Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
A Yes, true and correct.
MR. HOWARD: I offer defense document 2511
in evidence.
THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2511
will receive exhibit No. 3359.
(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit
No. 3359 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit No. 3359, beginning at paragraph 1:

name is HONDA, Masaki. I was born on 17 May 1889.

I am at present residing at No. 168, Zaimok Uza,

Kamakura City. Having graduated from the Army Cadet
School in the year of 1910, I was commissioned as a
Sub-Lieutenant. After occuping various posts, I was
appointed the Commander of the 33rd Unit under the
Burma Area Army in April 1944 and held the post until
the end of the war. On 4 August 1947 I got on board
the last ship at Rangoon and came back home.

around the middle of September, 1944, the 33rd Unit was being engaged in military operations in the neighborhood of Tung-Ting in parallel with an attacking advance on the Unnan Expeditionary Army. Owing to the unfavorable progress of the combat, however, we continued retreating, taking part in a combat in the vicinity of Meiklila at the battle of the Irrawaddy River. From that time onward, we marched on southward along the eastern side of the Sittang River, making a resistance step by step.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"From the middle of May, 1945, having grad-2 ually gathered our troops in the vicinity of the Sit-3 tang, the unit took charge of the defense of Tenas-4 serim region, east of the Sittang and west of the Salween river on the one hand and, on the other, checking an advance of the Anglo-Indian Army till the termination of the war.

"3. I have read the court exhibits concerning the alleged atrocities in Burma submitted to this Tribunal. Of the alleged crimes mentioned in court exhibits No. 1537-A, No. 1549 to No. 1553-A inclusive, I state that no orders of any kind were given by me concerning the matters and I knew nothing about the illegal acts mentioned at all, notwithstanding that they appear to have happened in the zone of operations under my charge. I learned, for the first time, part of the facts mentioned in the exhibits, when the Japanese war criminal suspects were on trial in Burma after the close of the war. No reports whatever were tendered by the unit to the Headquarters of the Area Army or no orders of any kind were received by the unit from the headquarters concerning these matters during the wartime.

24

20

21

22

25

24

25

"4. I was confident that we strongly maintained military discipline and morale as well and, consequently could render services in the cause of good understanding and harmony between the Japanese and Burmese by virtue of the efforts exerted by our officers and men in compliance with the spirit and intentions of General KIMURA, the Commander in Chief of the Area Army, though we were in such miserable conditions that the 33rd unit was hard pressed and continually retreating from September 1944. I was rather surprised to hear of the atrocities mentioned in the above numbered exhibits.

"5. General KIMURA showed his way of command through his address after arrival as the commander of Burma Area Army. Also, in the several meetings of headquarters of the area army through his staff and he also sent me private correspondence six or seven times; furthermore, I met him three times directly during the war. It is therefore but natural that I should have well understood his way of command. At all times, he laid emphasis on the strict enforcement of military discipline, maintenance of morale, and the proper use of reward and punishment.

"In addition to that, he told us to treat the Burmese populace with precaution and sympathy, so that we might win their hearts and enhance the support of the

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

10

20

24

22

25

24

Imperial Army. We were told to put 'The Battlefield Instructions' in practice, and if we might be later forced to abandon Burma as a result of a battle lost, 'We should leave, at least, such an impression on the minds of the Burmese populace that the morality and good will of the Imperial Army were high, so that these immensely heavy sacrifices should not have been in vain.' "As General KIMURA always repeated and emphasized such, we strove to realize his spirit and intentions, resorting to every possible means to do so." Your witness. COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases, there will be no cross-examination. I would draw attention to the fact that references to the prosecution's evidence have already been given during the prisoner of war phase.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness be excused on the usual terms?

> THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was ex-

cused.)

MR. HOWARD: I next call witness ICHIDA, whose affidavit is defense document 2574.

1	JIRO ICHID.A, recalled as a witness on be-
2	half of the defense, having been previously sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
4	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former
5	oath.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. HOW ARD:
8	Q General ICHIDA, please state your name and
9	address.
10	A My name is ICHIDA, Jiro. My address is Showa-
11	dori, Nakama-machi, Onmma-gun, Fukuoka Prefecture.
12	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
13	defense document 2574.
14	(Whereupon, a paper was handed to
15	the witness.)
16	Q Is that your affidavit, and did you sign it?
17	A Yes.
19	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. HOW ARD: I offer in evidence defense docu-
22	ment 2574.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
24	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2574 will
25	receive exhibit No. 3360.
	(Whereupon, the document above

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3360 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I read into evidence exhibit No. 3360, beginning at paragraph 1:

"I, ICHIDA, Jiro, appeared as a witness in the above styled case on September 4, 1947. My former affidavit is exhibit 3089.

"I was a Major General at the end of the war, stationed in Burma under General KIMURA after surrender. I assisted the Chief of Staff in war times, and was acting Chief of Staff, after surrender. Concerning Court exhibit 1539 in which is mentioned 27 Burmese as being missing in July of 1945 and which is also known as the Moulmein case, I state as follows:

"After the surrender, around the beginning of October 1945, I had occasion to talk to Commander of Gendarmerie KUME who was on his way from a place about 50 kilometre southeast of Moulmein to Rangoon with his subordinates by order of the British Army. He had stopped to rest at Thongwa village, southeast of Moulmein.

"The Headquarters of the Burma Area Army was then located in Thongwa village about 30 kilometers to the southeast of Moulmein. In this conversation Commander KUME told me about the so-called Moulmein Inci-

. W. ( 1. 9)

dent for the first time. Commander KUME told me that 1 the Burmese who had been kept in custody at Moulmein had been handled by the chief of the detachment of the Moulmein military police at his, the chief of the detachment's, own discretion, and without orders from anyone. Later on after the ranking officers of the Area Army 6 in Burma had been moved to the POW camp in Rangoon as prisoners, around the end of October 1945, I informed General KIMURA of the Moulmein case. General KIMURA told me that that was the first time he had heard of the case. Military Headquarters of the Area Army in 11 Burma had not issued orders nor received any informa-

"I have read all of the Court exhibits concerning atrocities in Burma presented to this Tribunal. Reports concerning such matters would have come to me and I in turn would have transmitted them to General KIMURA. No reports concerning the illegal acts mentioned in the exhibits read by me were received; consequently there was none to go to General KIMURA."

tion regarding the matter before the termination of the

I now ask that the witness be shown defense document No. 2770.

(Whereupon, a paper was handed to the witness.)

2 3

5

7

8

10

12

13

14

war.

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

1	Q Is that your affidavit, and did you sign it?
1	A Yes.
2	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense docu-
5	ment 2770.
6	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
7	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2770 will
8	receive exhibit No. 3361.
9	(Whereupon, the document above
10	referred to was marked defense exhibit No.
11	3361 and received in evidence.)
12	MR. HOWARD: I read into evidence exhibit
13	
14	3361, beginning at paragraph 2: "2. Commanding General of the Army KIMURA
15	
16	regarded the maintenance and enforcement of military
17	discipline as one of the most important items for com-
18,	mand. He took every available opportunity for its
10	materialization. I will enumerate some of the concrete
20	examples, as follows:
24	"3. Immediately after General KIMURA was ap-
22	pointed Commander of the Burma Area Army, that is, for
23	about a month from the last part of September 1944, he
24	advanced the command post to Maymyo where he conducted
25	operations himself and invited there the Commander and

the Chief of Staff of the 15th Army and the Chief of Staff of 33rd Army to give them a strict direction concerning maintenance of military discipline. During that while, he also inspected the military discipline of the units staying at Maymyo.

"In the beginning of February 1945, he inspected the 28th Army Headquarters at Taigii, when he encouraged the maintenance of military discipline. Then he reviewed military discipline of the units staying there. Thereafter, he advanced as far as Karo where he invited the Commander of the 33rd Army for instructions. Also he inspected the front line of the 33rd Division on the river Irrawaddi, enhancing morale and discipline.

withdrew to Moulmein at the end of April, 1945, Commander KIMURA went to the front line. He visited the Head-quarters of 31, 33, 49, 18 Divisions and 33 Army with a view of maintaining the military discipline. He also summoned to the Area Army Headquarters the commanders of 15th Army, 31st, 33rd, 49th Divisions, 101st, 24th Mixed Brigades which withdrew to Moulmein one by one, commander of gendarmerie and staff officers of each Army and Division, and he gave instructions that they, in commanding their subordinates, should put top priority on the maintenance of discipline of Japanese soldiers and

3

4

5

6

7

.8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

25

winning the popularity of natives. At the Headquarters conference he repeated his instructions again and again to make them permeate among the whole army. He also dispatched the staff officers and personnel of the Headquarters to the front line to have them inspect discipline conditions.

bad conditions, such as disorder caused by defeat and interruption of transportation and communication facilities, accumulated to make some portions of each corps slip from the hands of the commanders and they therefore made every possible efforts to pick them up. At the same time, in accordance with the intentions of the Area Army Commander they inspected the discipline in the battlefield so often that they found that it was maintained very strictly as far as their eyes could reach.

"VI. Commander KIL'URA severely punished those who broke the discipline.

"For example, he punished the chief of staff of the Area Army, senior staff officer in the first section of headquarters, adjutant general, junior adjutant, and commander of 24 Nixed Brigade for careless treatment of secret documents of the army. He punished a senior staff officer of the 2nd Section for his continuing contact with a priest named NAGAI contrary to the intentions

of the Area Army Commander. He also punished the chief of guards of the Area Army for lack of control of 3 his subordinates. He punished the head of the prison 4 where the Japanese soldiers were interned for his bad management." 6 Your witness. THE PRESIDENT: Will there be any cross-8 examination? 9 COLONEL MORNANE: There will be a few questions. 10 THE PRESIDENT: We will take them after the 11 recess. 12 We will recess for fifteen minutes. 13 (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was 14 taken until 1500, at which time the proceed-15 ings were resumed as follows:) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International G 1 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. e 2 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane. n 3 b CROSS-EXAMINATION e 4 r BY COLONEL MORNANE: g Q Witness, at the end of your affidavit you & say that the accused KIMURA punished the chief of Y eld the guards of the Area Army for lack of control of his subordinates. What guards were they? A By chief of guards I mean the chief of 10 11 guards of the Area Army. 12 Q Did those guards have anything to do with 13 the prison camps? 14 A No, no connection whatsoever. The duty of 15 these guards was to guard the headquarters of the 16 Area Army. 17 Q Well, now, with regard to the prison where 18 the Japanese soldiers were interned, was that at 19 Rangoon? 20 A Yes, yes, at Insein. 21 Insein. Was that at the same place where 22 the Allied prisoners of war were held? 23 A No. 24 Q Anywhere near there?

A About six or seven miles apart.

I see. And how did he discover the bad management of that prison? What part of the affidavit are you referring 3 to by that question? Q I am referring to the last sentence: "He 5 punished the head of the prison where the Japanese soldiers were interned for his bad management." The head of the prison was punished because of poor control over the affairs of the prison following desertion from that prison of Japanese soldiers. 11 That is, desertion of prisoners from the 12 prison? 13 Yes, Japanese soldiers who had been tried 14 at a court-martial had escaped from the prison. 15 Q And when did this take place? 16 A I do not recall the date. 17 Q Was it in '45 or '44? 18 A It was after General KI URA arrived at his 19 post. 20 Q Did he cause any investigation to be made 21 as to the condition of prisoners of war at Rangoon 22 as a result of the finding of the bad conditions at that Japanese prison?

25

1

There seems to be some misunderstanding, but

the Japanese soldiers did not desert the prison because

of

2

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

of bad management as you suggest.

Q Witness, what I suggest is this: The accused KIMURA found that bad conditions, bad management, existed at the Japanese prison as a result of which Japanese prisoners were allowed to escape; and, having found that, it would be logical for him to insure that conditions were cuite good; there was no danger of escape, say, at Rangoon prisoner of war camp. Did he cause any investigation to be made there?

THE INTERPRETER: Just prior to the question by the prosecutor, the witness said "No, that is not so."

A Because the prisoner of war camp in Rangoon was outside of the province of my duties, I do not know whether or not commanding general KImURA directed his staff officer to look after that.

COLONEL MORNANE: That concludes my cross-examination.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly, on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was ex-

MR. HOWARD: I next call the witness TAKAGI 1 whose affidavit is defense document 2681. 2 COLONEL MORNANE: May it please the Tri-3 bunal, there will be no cross-examination of this witness, and the prosecution have no objection to 5 the defense reading the affidavit. 6 7 MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense 8 document 2681. 9 THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual 10 terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2681 12 will receive exhibit No. 3362. 13 (Whereupon, the document above 14 referred to was marked defense exhibit 15 No. 3362 and received in evidence.) 16 AR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit No. 17 3362 beginning with paragraph one: 18 "1. My name is TAKAGI, Hidezo. I was born 19 on December 4, 1906. My present residence is in Tokyo. 20 I graduated from the Military Academy in 1928 and the 21 Military Staff College in 1941. Since then, after having served on various posts I was appointed a 23 24 staff officer to the Burma Area Army in January, 1945 25 and remained on the same until the surrender.

"2. I have read the exhibits presented by

the prosecution concerning the illegal acts committed in Burma. But, during my tenure of office in the Burma Area Army, the Headquarters of such had no knowledge of such illegal acts and General KIMURA did not order any such illegal acts. General KL URA stuck to the maintenance of military discipline and the holding of popularity as the fundamental principle of control and endeavoured to the utmost up until the very last to have his principle realized.

I next call the witness YAMAGUCHI whose affidavit is defense document 2586.

	EIJI (HIDEJI) YAHAGUCHI, recalled
1	as a witness on behalf of the defense, having
2	been previously sworn, testified through
3	Japanese interpreters as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former
6	oath.
7	BY MR. HOWARD:
8	Q Please state your name and address.
9	A My name is YAMAGUCHI, Hideji; my present
0	address: No. 134 3-chome, Minami Shiro-machi,
1	Takada City, Niigata Prefecture.
2	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be
3	shown defense document 2586.
4	Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?
5	A Yes.
6	9 Are the contents therein true and correct?
7	A Yes, both true and correct.
8	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense
9	document 2586.
1	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
22	COLONEL MORNANE: May it please the Tribunal,
23	the prosecution objects to the whole of the second
4	paragraph of this affidavit on the ground that it is
376	The state of the stound that it is

a resume of various exhibits that have already been

25

7 8

put in evidence. If it is necessary to give such a resume it is submitted that it is properly the function of counsel at the summation at the close of the case.

With regard to the third paragraph, it is objected that it is repetitive of evidence already given during the prisoner of war phase.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.

MR. HOWARD: I agree with the prosecution that paragraph 3 is possibly repetitive but since the prosecution has gone so far afield in citing exhibits in which they claim that General KIMURA was responsible in particular, we submit that it is proper to admit paragraph 2 in order to give the Court some understanding of just what our defense is in this connection; and in subsection (a) he states that these facts occurred before General KIMURA took the post of commander of the Burma Area Army. We submit that that is direct evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are sustained and the document admitted only to the extent not objected to.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2586 will receive exhibit No. 3363.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3363 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I shall read in eyidence exhibit No. 3363, omitting the parts which were not admitted:

"1. I, YAMAGUCHI, Eiji, am an ex-lieutenant colonel and was born on the 11th of August 1907. My present domicile is No. 134, 3-Chome, Nanjo-cho, Takada City, Niigata Prefecture. In 1929 I graduated from the Military Academy and in 1938 from the Staff College. Having been detailed on various services since then, I was appointed staff officer of the Burma Area Army on the 8th of July in 1944. Though on the 18th of July 1945 my position had been transferred formally to another one, I was in the service as the staff officer for operations until the 20th of the same month.

\* \* \*

"4. The Aviation Division in Burma, the Hikari Organ in charge of liaison affairs with the Indian National Army, the South Field Railway Corps, the Marine Transport Corps and the Naval Base Forces, all of whom were in Burma, were not under the command of General KIMURA."

Your witness.

COLONEL MORNANE: There will be no

cross-examination, if the Tribunal please. 1 MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness 2 be released on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon the witness was excused.) THE PRESIDENT: What about KAWAHARA, SHIBA-YAMA, and TANAKA, Tadakatsu? MR. HOWARD: I should like next to read one 8 sentence from exhibit 1541-A. 9 THE PRESIDENT: It is not on your order of 10 proof, but there is no objection apparently. 11 MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, a supplemental 12 order of proof was supposed to have been distributed 13 yesterday with the one item on it. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, read it. 15 MR. HOWARD: It is at page 12, one sentence 16 only near the top of the page: "It was stated in 17 several of the affidavits that the accused TAZUMI was 18 a better prison commandant than any of his predecessors." 19 20 I now call witness KAWAHARA, whose affidavit 21 is defense document 955. 22

23

24

	NAOICHI KAWAHARA, called as a witness
1	on behalf of the defense, being first duly
2	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
3	as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. HOWARD:
6	Q Please state your name and address.
7	A My name is KAWAHARA, Naoichi; and my
8	address: No. 18 Takamatsu, 2-chome, Toshima Ward.
9	Tokyo.
10	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown
11	defense document 955.
13	Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?
14	A Yes.
1.5	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense
s	document 955.
9	
20	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
1	COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases,
2	the prosecution objects to a portion of paragraph 7
3	appearing on page 3 and page 4, that is, after the
4	first sentence starting at "According" and going down
	to "approval" in the second line on page 4.

Immediately prior to the part objected to

the witness says, "The affairs of the War Ministry were managed in accordance with both government organization regulations and special regulations which the War Minister issued."

THE PRESIDENT: Presumably in writing. COLONEL MORNANE: That is so, sir.

Certain regulations have been put in. They are either the ones referred to or not but if there are others, their nonproduction should be accounted for before the witness is allowed to give evidence of them.

MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, this was intended as an interpretation of those rules and regulations.

THE PRESIDENT: That is just what we cannot allow him to do, interpret documents, and we cannot take his evidence of the contents of documents not produced or not accounted for.

MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, the documents referred to are in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection must be upheld if that is so because you are not going to try to work them into this affidavit. Objection upheld.

The document is admitted subject to that part of clause 7 indicated by Colonel Mornane being deleted and on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 955 will receive exhibit No. 3364. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3364 and received in evidence.) 

Morse & Whalen

MR. HOWARD: I will read in evidence exhibit 3364, beginning at paragraph I and leaving out the parts objected to.

"I. I held the post of Adjutant-General of the War Ministry from August 1939 to February 1943.

"Lieutenant-General KIMURA, Vice-"ar Minister, worked with me under Minister TOJO during the greater part of his tenure of office.

"II. I managed the affairs of the Minister's Secretariat by order of the Minister as a senior of the Adjutant Department. My principal business was to take charge of official seals of the Minister and the Ministry, to inspect, receive and send public correspondence (including telegrams) and to inspect finished documents, to receive foreign officers and to conduct general affairs.

"III. Vice-Minister KIMURA was a typical soldier. He concentrated his energy chiefly on the administrative business regarding ordnance in which he was well versed and at the same time he endeavored to establish harmony and unity in the Ministry. He helped the Minister passively compared with the acute and positive man, TOJO. Consequently, the Vice-Minister took charge of almost all of the routine and ceremonial affairs and miscellaneous business of the Minister.

 "IV. Even after he became Premier and "ar Minister, concurrently, General TOJO managed all affairs of the War Ministry for himself with strenuous efforts, so that the powers of Vice Minister and the matters under his charge were the same as before and were not extended at all; nor was he ordered to act for the Minister.

Liaison Conference or Imperial Conferences nor was he present at the Information Conferences of the Imperial Headquarters, nor the Information Conferences of Chiefs of Departments, nor the commissary Information Conferences held at the General Staff Office.

Chiefs were held with the aim of establishing a close contact between Minister, Vice-Minister, Chiefs of Departments and Chiefs of Bureaus; it was not a means by which to decide matters. And the Minister presided over them both in name and reality, giving it scrupulous care and apt direction.

"The contents of the Vice Minister's Note by
Order regarding the 'Disposal of Prisoners of War at
Present (ix. 1965A)' was reported directly to the
Minister by UEMURA, Chief of the Prisoner of War Control
Bureau at the Information Conference of Bureau Chiefs

at which time he obtained his approval. I do not recall that any protest concerning the maltreatment of POW was discussed in the Information Conference of Bureau Chiefs during my tenure of office as Adjutant-General.

managed in accordance with both government organization regulations and special regulations which the "ar Minister issued."

Skipping the parts objected to:

"Of course, all other important matters meeded the Minister's decision. Namely, either by the direct order of the Minister or in accordance with his confirmed intention, a policy or plan important to the Army was formed by the competent Bureau and Department, and was deliberated by Departments and Bureaus concerned and became a definite plan through the Minister's final decision. As was clearly shown in the internal law of the army, it was the most important principle of obedience for soldiers that even if the soldiers were divided in opinion during the procedure, they should efface themselves once the Minister gave his decision and should make strenuous efforts to fulfill their superior's intention. No liberty was given them of resigning their posts arbitrarily because of their different opinions.

5

6

1

2 4

3

7 8

9

10

12

15

14

16 17

18

20

21 22

23

24

"VIII. The matters which were determined through the above-mentioned process were announced or notified to the outside by public documents (including telegrams). And there were three principal forms of notification, all of which took different forms just because of their contents, but were substantially the public documents of the War Ministry which were sent on the responsibility of the War Minister: firstly, general matters such as orders of the Ministry, instructions, public notes, orders and directions were issued in the name of the Minister; secondly, other individual matters, not general, or matters which rank second in importance, in the name of the Vice-Minister; thirdly, less important matters and usual ones such as interpretation of laws, in the name of the Adjutant-General. But all of them were notified on the responsibility of the War Minister and the senders were not responsible for their contents; there was no exception among them. Among them there were the public documents (including telegrams) such as Vice-Minister's note by order, Vice-Minister's note, Vice-Minister's inquiry and answer which were issued in the name of Vice Minister of War, and Adjutant-General's note which were issued in the name of Adjutant General. These were classified, as mentioned above, by the relative importance of their contents and

24

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the recipients of such public documents or the parties to which they were addressed. The classification determined the names of senders and they were classified by the competent Bureau and inspected by the Secretariat. Documents issued in the name of the chief of bureau or department were not recognized as official documents of the Ministry of Var and they were not allowed to go beyond the purview of preliminary and liaison negotiations with offices other than those within the Ministry.

"The mere fact that a man's name was placed on a piece of official correspondence or order did not necessarily mean that such person was responsible for the subject matter therein contained. To make this clear, the 'note sent by order' was invariably preceded by an introductory remark, 'as the Minister has decided so I send this note by order'.

"Furthermore, all the Vice-Minister's notes

(not by order) such as answers to inquiries not only
included the matters under the charge of the ViceMinister but they often included matters decided by War
Minister or under the charge of Chiefs Bureaus. Moreover,
at that time the War Ministry usually dispatched an average of approximately 4,000 official documents a day,
and although most of these documents dealt with matters
under the charge of Chiefs of Bureaus, they were sometimes

sent under the name of the Senior Adjutant.

"As to all the communications, including tele-3 grams and notes between the War Ministry and various 4 Area Armies and divisions, it was customary to use for 5 their sender or addressee either the Chief of Staff or 6 Vice-Minister as the case may be, and the name of the 7 Minister and Divisional Commander were not mentioned c in most cases. As examples of 'Notification of Vice-Minister by Order', there are the following: 'Disposal of Prisoners of War' (exhibit 1965A) and 'Matters concerning the Treatment of Crews of Enemy Aircraft which were Captured in Air Raiding the Imperial Territory, Manchukuo, or Our Operational Areas. (Exhibit 1992). As an example of an answer of Vice Minister, there is Reply from Vice Minister of War to Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs' (exhibit 1958). And as examples of documents which were issued or received in the name of Vice Minister of War and chief of staff of Army, there are the following: 'Inquiry Concerning the Question of Making Available the Manchurian Machine Tool Co. for a Rapid Increase in Aircraft Production, (exhibit 1970-A). 'Matters concerning Internment of Prisoners of War in Korea' (exhibit 1973) and 'Matters of the Presenting of Report Concerning the Reaction Among the General Public following Internment of British Prisoners

24

23

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"TX. All the official correspondence (includ-

of War! (exhibit 1975-A).

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

22

24

25

ing telegrams) which the War Ministry was to send to the outside, as well as these (including telegrams) which were eddressed to the Ministry from the outside were first brought to the Adjutant Department without exception. The /djutant Department forwarded the outgoing official correspondence after inspection, and delivered the incoming correspondence to the competent Section at once. Upon receiving important matters that required directions from the War Ministry the competent section drafted the necessary instructions or orders in conformit with the Minister's idea. But regarding the disposal of unimportant ones, they were offered for superior's inspection after the preparation of it and some of the less important matters entrusted to the Section were not inspected by superiors. And all of those documents were sent in the names of Minister, Vice-Minister or the Adjutant-General. "X. The matters in the War Ministry concerning the treatment of prisoners of war lay chiefly in the

hands of the Chief of the Prisoner of War Control Depart-

ment, but other Chiefs of Bureaus disposed of the POW

matters which fell under their jurisdiction, holding

themselves directly responsible to the Minister.

"The Chief of the Prisoner of War Control Department was entrusted with a considerable amount of matters concerning unimportant business of treatment of prisoners, but the Vice-Minister was not entrusted with any matters regarding the business under the juris-6 diction of the Chief of the Prisoner of War Control 7 Department.

The matters about the investigation of "XI. 9 conditions of prisoners and the correspondence on them 10 were chiefly in charge of the Prisoners of "ar Informa-11 tion Bureau. The Bureau was an organ under the direct 12 control of the War Minister and an outside bureau of the War Ministry which had independent character. Therefore, documents were exchanged between the Bureau and the outside directly, not through the Adjutant Department of the War Ministry. The Vice-Minister was not entrusted with any matters regarding the business which fell under the jurisdiction of the Bureau.

"XII. Foreign officers have visited the Vice War Minister to pay formal courtesies, but have never come on political and practical business."

Now, with the Court's permission, I would like to ask one additional question.

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

BY MR. HOWARD (Continued):

Q When documents were drafted by other ministries, or by the General Staff, and these documents were made rental by the War Ministry, who was considered responsible for the decisions expressed in the documents?

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

the prosecution objects to this question on the ground that it is too vague. When you refer to responsibility it may be responsibility as far as other government departments are concerned in relation to the War Ministry, or it may be the War Minister's attitude toward the responsibility of a subordinate official. In other words, as regards a document approved by the Vice Minister of War, although the Minister of War may have to take the responsibility as far as the other Japanese government departments is concerned, it still may be the Vice Minister's responsibility from the point of view of TOJO, the Minister for War.

Of course, the matter may be cleared up in cross-examination, but it is submitted that the question be put more precisely.

THE PRESIDENT: Could you be a little clearer,

Mr. Howard?

2

6

7

9

10

11

13 14

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, I think the witness understands my question thoroughly. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. 3 MR. HOWARD: And his answer will clear the 4 question possibly. THE PRESIDENT: Well, Colonel Mornane seems to be prepared to leave it to cross-examination, so let the witness answer. In the event decisions are made on the basis 9 of rentai only the War Minister himself carries the responsibility. MR. HOWARD: You may have the witness. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane. 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COLONEL MORNANE: 16 Witness, do you know any officials who were 17 in the War Ministry in your time by the name -- or do 18 you know an official by the name of KITA, K-i-t-a? 19 KITA, is that who you are referring to, KITA? 20 What is his name, his first name? 21 I am afraid I can't supply that to you, but 22 was there anyone with the second or surname of KITA? 23 A I have no recollection.

Q Well, anyone by the name of HAMADA, H-a-m-a-d-a?

Not in the War Ministry.

were they not?

23

B

	Q Where was he?
1 2	A There was a HAMADA who was in the Inspector-
3	ate General of Military Education for a short while;
4	however, his name was HAMADA, Suyeo, S-u-y-e-o.
5	Q Well, now, Witness, who was the Diet Vice
6	Minister while KIMURA was the Vice Minister for War?
7	A I can't recall.
8	Q Was there a Diet Vice Minister at that time?
9	A I do not think there was a Parliamentary Vice
10	Minister while General KIMURA was Vice Minister. I
11	have no definite recollection as to when that post
12	was empty.
13	Q Well, now, was there any Counsellor while
14	KIMURA was Vice Minister for War?
15	A My recollection is the same with respect to
16	the Parliamentary Vice Minister or Parliamentary Coun-
17	sellor.
18	Q And the duties normally performed by the Par-
19	lismentary Vice Minister and the Parliamentary Coun-
20	sellor were in fact performed by the accused KIMURA,
41	

This is based upon my recollection of the work

of the Parliamentary Vice Minister and the Parliamentary

Counsellor during the tenure of office of Vice Minister

ANAMI who preceded Vice Minister KIMURA, and on the

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

basis of that recollection I should like to say that both these men, namely the Parliamentary Vice Minister and Counsellor had hardly anything to do, and their work began only, and was conducted only when the Diet opened and was continued to be in session.

It was part of the duty of each of these men to take part in matters concerning negotiations with the Imperial Diet, was it not?

Yes. A

And the Vice Minister's duty was restricted to assisting the Minister, coordinating the affairs of the Ministry, and supervising the offices of bureaus and divisions?

The Vice Minister had the authority to coordinate the work of bureaus and divisions, but not the authority to supervise their work.

Q We will leave that part for the moment. But the regulations gave him no authority to take part in political affairs or to enter into negotiations with the Imperial Diet?

No.

And, of course, you know KIMURA did actually take part in these negotiations on several occasions at least?

Only when he was appointed as a member of the

1	Government Committee in the Diet.
2	Q That would be on the appointment of the
3	War Minister?
4	No, appointment by the government.
5	Q And the normal appointment to that position
6	would be the Parliamentary Vice Minister?
7	THE MONITOR: The witness asked: "What do
8	you mean by that work?"
9	Q The War Ministry representative on the Govern-
10	ment Committee would normally would usually be the
	ment Committee Would her on Parliamentary Counsellor
11	Parliamentary Vice Minister or Parliamentary Counsellor
12	from the War Ministry, would be not?
13	A No. Members of the Government Committee are
14	not limited to them alone.
15	THE PRESIDENT: You will be some time with
16	this witness?
17	COLONEL MORNANE: Evidently, sir.
18	
19	
20	past nine Monday morning.
	(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn
21	ment was taken until Monday, 27 Uctober 1777
22	at 0930.)
23	at 0750•7
24	
25	